

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

<i>SUMMARY</i>	i
<i>TABLE OF CONTENTS</i>	xxvii
<i>LIST OF EXHIBITS</i>	xxxv
<i>CHAPTER ONE: PURPOSE AND NEED</i>	1-1
1.1 Context	1-1
1.2 Project Background	1-2
1.2.1 Doyle Drive and the Presidio	1-2
1.3 Project History	1-3
1.3.1 Continued Studies: 1990's through Present	1-4
1.3.2 Related Plans and Projects	1-7
1.3.3 Environmental and Engineering Analysis: the Next Step	1-8
1.4 Project Purpose and Need	1-8
1.4.1 Project Purpose	1-9
1.4.2 Project Need	1-9
1.4.3 Logical Termini and Independent Utility	1-13
1.5 Project Partners	1-14
1.6 Environmental Process	1-15
1.6.1 Preferred Alternative	1-16
1.7 Funding and Programming	1-17
<i>CHAPTER TWO: PROJECT ALTERNATIVES</i>	2-1
2.1 Project Area	2-1
2.2 Alternatives Development Process	2-1
2.2.1 Criteria for Screening of Alternatives	2-3
2.2.2 Evaluation Process	2-4

2.2.3	Techniques Used to Develop Primary Alternatives.....	2-4
2.2.4	Preliminary Alternatives and Access Options Considered	2-9
2.3	Alternatives Considered and Withdrawn	2-26
2.3.1	Eliminated During Initial Evaluation and Traffic Screening	2-26
2.3.2	Alternatives and Access Options Eliminated after Further Review	2-29
2.3.3	Alternatives and Design Options Presented in the Preliminary Environmental Analysis and Withdrawn	2-31
2.3.4	Various Design Elements	2-32
2.4	Alternatives for Further Study	2-34
2.4.1	Alternative 1: No-Build	2-35
2.4.2	Alternative 2: Replace and Widen	2-41
2.4.3	Alternative 5: Presidio Parkway	2-47
2.5	Preferred Alternative: Refined Presidio Parkway	2-54
2.5.1	Development of the Preferred Alternative: Refined Presidio Parkway Alternative	2-54
2.5.2	Selection of the Preferred Alternative.....	2-56
2.5.3	Context Sensitive Design and Sustainability	2-56
2.5.4	Description of the Preferred Alternative: Refined Presidio Parkway Alternative	2-58
2.5.5	Tsunami Criteria.....	2-65
2.5.6	Design Standard Compliance	2-67
2.6	Comparison of Earthwork/Excavation.....	2-69
2.7	Project Costs.....	2-70
2.8	Construction Activities (Alternatives 2 & 5).....	2-71
2.8.1	Construction Staging for Alternatives 2 and 5	2-71
2.8.2	Construction Methods	2-79
2.8.3	Construction Timing	2-82
2.8.4	Temporary Roadway Closures	2-83
2.8.5	Long-Term Roadway Closures	2-84
2.9	Construction Activities for the Preferred Alternative.....	2-84
2.9.1	Construction Staging	2-84
2.9.2	Construction Methods	2-97
2.9.3	Construction Timing	2-101

2.9.4	Temporary Roadway Closures	2-101
2.9.5	Long-Term Roadway Closures.....	2-102

**CHAPTER THREE: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT,
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND AVOIDANCE,
MINIMIZATION AND MITIGATION MEASURES..... 3-1**

3.1	General Environmental Review Process.....	3-1
3.2	Human Environment	3-1
3.2.1	Land Use and Planning	3-2
3.2.2	Parks and Recreation	3-34
3.2.3	Growth	3-40
3.2.4	Community Impacts	3-42
3.2.5	Parking.....	3-57
3.2.6	Relocation	3-65
3.2.7	Environmental Justice	3-68
3.2.8	Traffic and Transportation	3-75
3.2.9	Transit.....	3-113
3.2.10	Visual and Aesthetics.....	3-115
3.2.11	Cultural Resources	3-129
3.3	Physical Environment.....	3-151
3.3.1	Hydrology, Water Quality, and Stormwater	3-151
3.3.2	Geology/Soils/Seismic/Topography.....	3-171
3.3.3	Hazardous Waste/Materials	3-181
3.3.4	Air Quality.....	3-192
3.3.5	Noise and Vibration	3-203
3.3.6	Energy.....	3-248
3.4	Biological Environment	3-256
3.4.1	Natural Communities	3-257
3.4.2	Wetlands and other Waters of the United States	3-272
3.4.3	Plant Species	3-287
3.4.4	Animal Species	3-297
3.4.5	Invasive Species.....	3-309

3.5 The Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of Man’s Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	3-311
3.6 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources Which Would Be Involved in the Doyle Drive Project	3-311

**CHAPTER FOUR: CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY ACT (CEQA) EVALUATION..... 4-1**

4.1 Determining Significance Under CEQA.....	4-1
4.1.1 Significance Criteria	4-2
4.2 Potentially Significant Impacts	4-2
4.2.1 Cultural Resources	4-2
4.2.2 Visual	4-7
4.2.3 Soils and Geology: Serpentinite	4-8
4.2.4 Land Use and Planning	4-8
4.3 Impacts Mitigated to Less than Significant.....	4-8
4.3.1 Air Quality.....	4-8
4.3.2 Biological Resources	4-9
4.3.3 Hydrology.....	4-11
4.3.4 Hazardous Waste.....	4-12
4.3.5 Noise and Vibration.....	4-12
4.3.6 Visual/Aesthetics	4-14
4.3.7 Geology and Soils: Seismic	4-14
4.4 No Impacts.....	4-14
4.4.1 Climate Change.....	4-14
4.5 Environmentally Superior Alternative	4-16

CHAPTER FIVE: CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS 5-1

5.1 Guidance.....	5-1
5.1.1 Council on Environmental Quality	5-1
5.1.2 Federal Highway Administration	5-2
5.1.3 California Environmental Quality Act	5-3
5.2 Scope and Methodology of the Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	5-3
5.3 Resources Evaluated	5-3

5.4	Temporal and Geographic Boundaries	5-4
5.4.1	Temporal	5-4
5.4.2	Geographic.....	5-4
5.5	Other Projects and Plans Considered in this Analysis.....	5-5
5.6	Cumulative Impacts Evaluation	5-9
5.6.1	Traffic and Transportation	5-9
5.6.2	Biological Environment	5-10
5.6.3	Hydrology, Water Quality, and Stormwater Runoff	5-11
5.6.4	Cultural Resources	5-12
5.6.5	Visual Quality	5-22
5.7	Summary of Cumulative Effects for Resource Areas	5-24

**CHAPTER SIX: PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT
PROCESS/ NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBAL COORDINATION** 6-1

6.1	Public Scoping	6-1
6.2	Public and Agency Coordination.....	6-3
6.3	Additional Public Outreach	6-5
6.4	Summary of Native American Consultation.....	6-6
6.5	Section 106 Compliance.....	6-7
6.6	Outreach Activities Related to the Release of the DEIS/R, Identification of the Preferred Alternative and Preparation of the FEIS/R.....	6-7
6.7	Summary of Public and Agency Comments on the DEIS/R.....	6-12

CHAPTER SEVEN: FINAL SECTION 4(F) 7-1

7.1	Section 4(f) Regulations	7-1
7.2	Proposed Action	7-3
7.2.1	Alternative 1: No-Build Alternative.....	7-5
7.2.2	Alternative 2: Replace and Widen.....	7-5
7.2.3	Alternative 5: Presidio Parkway	7-7
7.2.4	Preferred Alternative: Refined Presidio Parkway	7-9
7.3	Description of Section 4(f) Properties	7-12
7.3.1	The Presidio	7-12

7.3.2	Doyle Drive.....	7-24
7.3.3	Golden Gate Bridge.....	7-25
7.3.4	Marina Viaduct	7-25
7.3.5	Presidio Viaduct	7-25
7.3.6	Palace of Fine Arts	7-25
7.4	Other Parks and Recreation Facilities Evaluated	7-26
7.5	Impacts on the Section 4(f) Properties.....	7-27
7.5.1	The Presidio NHLD	7-28
7.5.2	Doyle Drive.....	7-40
7.5.3	Golden Gate Bridge.....	7-41
7.5.4	Marina Viaduct	7-42
7.5.5	Presidio Viaduct	7-42
7.5.6	Palace of Fine Arts.....	7-42
7.6	Avoidance and Minimization.....	7-43
7.6.1	Alternatives Development Process.....	7-44
7.6.2	Alternatives Considered and Withdrawn.....	7-46
7.7	Analysis of Harm.....	7-54
7.7.1	Design Exceptions	7-56
7.7.2	Construction Sequencing	7-56
7.7.3	Temporary Road Closures	7-57
7.7.4	Compensation.....	7-57
7.7.5	Section 106 Programmatic Agreement (PA).....	7-57
7.8	Coordination/Officials With Jurisdiction.....	7-64
7.9	Section 4(f) Finding.....	7-66
7.9.1	Section 4(f) Determination	7-67
7.9.2	Refinement of Build Alternatives	7-68
7.9.3	Selection of the Preferred Alternative.....	7-69
7.9.4	Results of Alternatives Evaluation.....	7-70

<i>CHAPTER EIGHT: DISTRIBUTION LIST</i>	8-1
Elected Officials	8-1
Federal Agencies	8-4
State Agencies	8-6
Regional and Local Agencies	8-7
Organizations and Individuals	8-9
 <i>CHAPTER NINE: REFERENCES</i>	 9-1
Air Quality	9-1
Community Impact Assessment	9-3
Cultural Resources	9-7
Energy	9-14
Hazardous Waste/Materials.....	9-14
Hydrology and Water Resources.....	9-16
Natural Environment Studies	9-19
Soils and Geology	9-25
Visual Impact Assessment.....	9-28
 <i>CHAPTER TEN: LIST OF PREPARERS</i>	 10-1
 <i>INDEX</i>	

VOLUME II

APPENDICES

- A — Title VI
- B — Alternative Plan Sheets
- C — Visual Impact Assessment
- D — Cultural Resources: Potential Impacts within the APE
- E — Public Activities, Meetings and Summary of Public Comments
- F — List of Acronyms and Abbreviations
- G — Glossary of Terms
- H — Notices and Letters
- I — Section 106 Programmatic Agreement and Coordination Letters
- J — Summary of Relocation Benefits
- K — Minimization and Mitigation Summary

VOLUME III

- L — Comments on DEIS/R and Responses

List of Technical Studies

- Final Air Quality Study November 2004
- Final Community Impact Assessment August 2005, Addendum September 2006
- Final Preliminary Geotechnical Report October 2004
- Final Preliminary Site Investigation October 2004
- Final Hydrology and Water Resources Technical Report October 2004
- Final Natural Environmental Study July 2005
- Final Noise and Vibration Study December 2004, Addendum September 2006
- Final Parking Impact Analysis September 2004, Addendum October 2006
- Final Preliminary Tunnel Systems Report October 2004
- Final Traffic and Transit Operations Report December 2004, Addendum October 2006
- Final Visual Impact Assessment September 2004
- Final Finding of Effect December 2005, Addendum April 2007